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Abstract

*Pseudomonas tolaasii* 6264 is a representative strain that causes bacterial blotch disease on the cultivated oyster mushroom, *Pleurotus ostreatus*. Bacteriophages are able to sterilize the pathogenic *P. tolaasii* strains and therefore, they can be applied to make a disease-free cultivation farm, known as “phage therapy”. For successful phage therapy, the characterization of phage-resistant strains is necessary, since they are frequently induced from the original pathogenic bacteria in the presence of phages. When 10 phages were incubated with *P. tolaasii* 6264, their corresponding phage-resistant strains were obtained. In this study, changes in pathogenic, genetic, and biochemical characteristics as well as the acquired phage resistance of these strains were investigated. In the phylogenetic analyses, all phage-resistant strains were identical to the original parent strain based on the sequence comparison of 16S rRNA genes. When various phage-resistant strains were examined by three different methods, pitting test, white line test, and hemolytic activity, they were divided into three groups: strains showing all positive results in three tests, two positive in the first two tests, and all negative. Nevertheless, all phage-resistant strains showed that their pathogenic activities were reduced or completely loss.
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Introduction

_Pseudomonas tolaasii_ is a major bacterial strain that causes brown blotch disease on cultivated mushrooms [1]. The disease occurs on various mushrooms, such as _Pleurotus ostreatus_, _Agaricus bisporus_, and _Flammulina velutipes_. Tolaas first reported that brown blotch disease is a bacterial disease [2]. It is one of the major diseases in the mushroom cultivation industry and discourages farmers with serious economic losses. Since mushrooms are fresh food, antibiotics are not allowed to be used. In order to prevent the disease, bottling cultivation, sterilization of agricultural groundwater, fumigation of cultivation facilities, and plastic film mulching have been used. There has been some improvement, but none of them were completely successful in protecting from the disease.

A bacteriophage is a bacterial virus that has attracted attention as an alternative to antibiotics as an antibacterial agent [3, 4]. To prevent the continued appearance of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, the development of improved methods using new antimicrobial agents, bacteriophages, antibacterial peptides, and nanoparticles is necessary. Recently, research using bacteriophages has been actively carried out on livestock, agricultural, and marine products, as well as antibiotic-resistant bacteria [5, 6, 7]. However, the commercialization of phage therapy has been delayed due to difficulties in clinical application and the occurrence of phage-resistant pathogenic bacterial strains [8]. The induction of phage-resistant mutant (PRM) strains is overcome by the treatment of multiple phages, known as a phage cocktail [9]. Therefore, the understanding and prevention of PRM strains are essential for successful phage therapy.

Various phages against _P. tolaasii_ were isolated and their bactericidal activities were measured. Phage therapy was apparently successful since no pathogens were observed in the presence of the specific phages [10]. However, PRM strains were induced after further incubation and they survived in presence of the corresponding phage [11]. In this study, a
number of PRM strains have been derived from one parent pathogen, *P. tolaasii* 6264, and their genetic characteristics, biochemical activities, and pathogenicity were compared with those of the parent strain.
Materials and Methods

Induction of PRM strains

*P. tolaasii* 6264 strain was inoculated in a Pseudomonas agar F (PAF; Difco, Lawrence, KS, USA; Bacto-peptone, 10 g; Bacto-tryptone, 10 g; Glycerol, 10 mL; MgSO$_4$, 1.5 g; K$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.5 g; and agar, 15 g per liter) semisolid medium made with 50% agar, poured onto a solid medium and hardened for 1 h. Four microliters of a phage solution were added to the medium and cultured at 25°C for 48-72 h. Colonies of PRM strains appeared in the inside of phage-plaques. A single colony that occurred inside a plaque was isolated and plated on solid medium. The isolated PRM strains were re-inoculated with the phage solution to confirm their growth in the presence of phage. They were suspended in 1 mL storage medium containing 20% glycerol and stored at -80°C. PRM strains were induced by inoculation of various phages to the parent strain, *P. tolaasii* 6264, and those strains were called “R” and added to the number of phages used.

Analysis of genetic characteristics

The genetic characteristics of the PRM strains were investigated by comparing their 16S rRNA gene to that of the parent strain. Sequencing was performed using a BigDye(R) Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit from Applied Biosystems Co., Ltd. (Foster City, CA, USA). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed with the method presented by Khan and Jett [12]. After completion of the reaction, unreacted dNTPs and reagents were removed with ethanol and the results were obtained using an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer. The results were compared with each sequence of ribosomal DNA in the GenBank database using the BLASTN program and the homology and identification of sequences were analyzed by the ezTaxon server (http://www.ezbiocloud.net/eztaxon) [13], Clustal W, and Mega 7.0 program [14].
Biochemical activities of parent strain and PRM strains

The API 20NE kit (Biomérieux, Paris, France) was used to investigate the biochemical characteristics of the parent strain and PRM strains. Measurement was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the colony of each strain was inoculated into sterile physiological saline (0.85% sodium chloride solution) and the solution was filled into various metabolic activity wells and they were incubated at 30°C for 24-48 h.

Pitting test and white line test

A pitting test was carried out using the fruiting body of a button mushroom (Agaricus bisporus) according to the method presented by Gandy [15]. The fruiting body of the mushroom was cut horizontally and the culture medium (10^8-10^9 cfu/mL) or supernatants were dropped onto the surface of the fruiting body. After incubation at 25°C for 12-24 h, discoloration and sunken areas on the surface were observed.

After Pseudomonas reactans ATCC 51314, a white-line forming strain, was inoculated in the PAF solid medium in a straight line, 1 μL culture medium of the PRM strain was inoculated at a distance of 5 mm. The inoculated plates were incubated at 25°C for 24-48 h to determine the appearance of white sedimentation between the two strains.

Measurement of hemolytic activity

The hemolytic activity of tolaasin or its analogues was measured using red blood cells (erythrocytes) according to the method presented by Rainey et al. [16]. Erythrocytes were collected from the vena cava (major vein) of rat and stored at 4°C. This was diluted 10 times with HEPES-buffered saline at the time of use. Tolaasin at 1HU, which makes hemolysis 1% erythrocytes within 30 min, was used for the measurement of hemolytic activity by
monitoring absorbance at 600 nm using a UV/vis spectrophotometer (U-2000, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Opacity of colonies**

PRM strains were inoculated in the PAF solid medium. After 24 h incubation, the turbidity of colonies of each strain was observed. In order to determine the opacity of the colonies, the plates were put on grid paper in a lightbox. Colonies of each strain were divided into opaque (O), translucent (TL), and transparent (TP) according to transparency.
Results

Isolation and cross-reactivity of PRM strains

Thirteen PRM strains were isolated in the middle of large plaques formed by 10 different bacteriophages against a parent bacterium, *P. tolaasii* 6264 (Table 1). The PRM strains 21R, 32R, 42R, 44R, and 83R were isolated from the highly toxic phages ɸ6b21, ɸ6b32, ɸ6b42, ɸ6b44, and ɸ6h83, respectively. The PRM strains 31aR, 31bR, 5R, 7R, 82aR, 82bR, and 82cR were isolated from medium toxic phages ɸ6b31, ɸ6g5, ɸ6b7, and ɸ6h82, respectively. The PRM 1R strain was induced by a low toxic phage, ɸ6b1. The PRM strains were induced as single or multiple colonies inside the plaque (Fig. 1). In the cases of phages, ɸ6b31 and ɸ6h82, two and three strains of PRM were found in one plaque, respectively.

In order to investigate the susceptibility of PRM strains to different phages, each PRM strain was treated with 10 phages. The phages with the same host range could be classified into the same phage type. Ten phages were divided into six types according to the wideness of host range to those PRM strains (Table 2). Phage ɸ6g5, called type 2, with the narrowest host range, is able to infect only three of eight PRM strains. Meanwhile, phage ɸ6h83, called type 6, can infect all types of seven PRM bacterial groups except 83R strain, its self-induced PRM strain. Phages ɸ6b31, ɸ6b42, and ɸ6b7 were classified into type 3. Phages ɸ6b32, ɸ6b44, and ɸ6h82, which have the same reactivity to PRM strains, belong to type 4. Phages ɸ6b1 and ɸ6b21 belong to type 1 and type 5, respectively. All PRM strains derived from type 3 phage showed the same susceptibility to phages. However, those of PRM strains derived from type 4 phage were divided into three groups with different susceptibility to type 1 and type 5 phages.

Genetic and biochemical characters of PRM strains

In the phylogenetic analyses of 16S rRNA gene sequences of the PRM strains, the nucleotide...
sequences of the PRM strains 1R, 21R, 31aR, 44R, 5R, 82aR, 82bR, and 82cR were identical to that of the parent strain; however, those of the 31bR, 32R, 42R, 7R and 83R strains were slightly different from that of the parent strain (Fig. 2). Furthermore, these PRM strains showed high homology over 99.5% with *P. tolaasii* ATCC 33618. This is higher than the species differentiation threshold of 98.6%, indicating that all PRM strains originated from the parent strain, *P. tolaasii* 6264.

In order to evaluate any changes in biochemical characteristics between the parent strain and the corresponding PRM strains, 21 metabolic activities were measured. Differences were observed in the activities of ADH (arginine dihydro lase) and GEL (gelatin hydrolysis). The other 19 metabolic activities were consistent with all bacterial strains (Table 3). The activity of ADH was dependent on the incubation time and was not observed in all PRM strains within 24 h incubation; however, it became positive after 48 h. The only difference was observed in GEL activity, and the PRM strains 82aR and 83R showed negative in GEL activity. Therefore, when the PRM strains were induced, most of the metabolic activities except for the ADH and GEL activities were not changed.

**Pathogenicity of PRM strains**

To compare the pathogenicity of the PRM strains to that of the parent strain, their cytotoxicities were measured by using both pitting test and hemolytic activity. In the pitting test, the strength of the pathogenicity was measured by the size of blotch formed and the degree of sinking of the blotch surface. At 24 h incubation after the addition of one drop of culture supernatant, brown blotches were formed, and the tissue was submerged at the inoculation site (Fig. 3). When the area of the blotches and the degree of sinking were compared, the strains 31aR, 32R, 7R, 82bR, and 82cR were less toxic since their culture extracts made smaller
blotches than that of the parent strain. PRM strains 31bR, 42R, 44R, 5R, and 83R made blotches
with similar sizes, but not bigger than that of the parent strain. However, PRM strains, 1R, 21R,
and 82aR, did not form blotches. Therefore, the PRM strains can make disease, but none of
them have stronger pathogenicity than the parent strain.

The tolaasin peptides secreted by *P. tolaasii* are pore-forming toxins and are toxic to the
erthrocyte membrane as well as the mushroom cell membrane. Hemolytic activity was
measured and evaluated as cytotoxic activity. When hemolysis was measured after the addition
of culture supernatant, a time-dependent hemolytic curve was shown, as in Fig. 4. The
hemolytic activity of each strain was evaluated as $T_{50}$, the time required for 50% hemolysis.
The parent strain had a rapid hemolysis rate and its $T_{50}$ was 25.0 min, while the $T_{50}$ of the PRM
strains 31bR and 42R was about 38 min. The $T_{50}$ values of the 5R and 44R strains were 49.1
and 66.8 min, respectively, and they showed weak cytotoxicity. The 82cR strain showed very
poor hemolytic activity and it mediated only 20% hemolysis for 2 h. The rest of the PRM strains
did not show any cytotoxic activity to erythrocytes (Fig. 4).

In order to identify the cause of changes in pathogenicity, toxin secretion and the
morphological characteristics of these PRM strains were investigated. The tolaasin secreted by
*Pseudomonas tolaasii* is known to bind to the “white line inducing principle” (WLIP) toxin
secreted by *Pseudomonas reactans* and forms white line precipitation [17]. According to the
result of the white line test, the parent strain was positive to form a white line, peptide
precipitation, confirming a tolaasin-secreting strain. In the analyses of the PRM strains, the
strains 31aR, 31bR, 32R, 42R, 44R, 5R, 7R, 82bR, 82cR, and 83R also formed white
precipitates, while the strains 1R, 21R, and 82aR did not form any white lines. In addition, the
PRM strains derived from the parent strain showed some differences in colony turbidity. The
PRM strains 31bR, 42R, 44R, 5R, and 82cR grew into an opaque colony, similar to that of the
parent strain. However, the PRM strains 1R, 21R, and 82aR made transparent colonies. The
remaining PRM strains formed translucent colonies (TL) (Table 4). The PRM strains with
opaque colonies all showed positive in three tests, pitting test, white line-forming ability, and
hemolysis; however, those with transparent colonies showed all negative in three tests.
Discussion

For the control of brown blotch disease, bacteriophages were successful in sterilizing pathogenic *P. tolaasii* strains [10]. Despite the excellent bactericidal activity of the bacteriophages, the PRM strains were easily induced in the presence of phages. For successful phage therapy, the pathogenic and biochemical characteristics of the PRM strains were investigated [11]. PRM strains were derived from the parent strain, *P. tolaasii* 6264, in the presence of various virulent phages. When their characteristics were compared, no correlations between the pathogenic characters of the PRM strains and the phages were clearly found. Since the mechanisms for obtaining resistance against phages are variable [18, 19], the characteristics of the PRM strains may vary widely and it may be difficult to compare different mutant strains. Nevertheless, by revealing correlations between the parent strain and the PRM strains, it may help a wide understanding and the problems that occur with various PRM strains.

Ten different phages were classified into six types depending on their host ranges to 13 PRM strains. Although the PRM strains derived from a single parent strain and induced by similar phages sharing single host bacteria exhibited various phage sensitivities (Table 2), these results suggest that the phage type may not determine the phage resistance characteristics of PRM strains. Bacterial host strains require some modifications of existing cellular structures and biosystems to obtain phage resistance. In this process, the bacterial phenotype can be changed in various ways [20, 21]. The hemolytic activity of the PRM strains decreased by more than 79.5% within 30 min, or completely disappeared (Fig. 4), and the blotch-forming ability of the PRM strains also decreased in degree of sunken area and discoloration (Fig. 3). These results are very similar to those of previous studies that showed the reduced pathogenicity of the PRM strains induced by *Salmonella enterica* [22]. León and Bastías [23] reported two possibilities that reduce the pathogenicity of the PRM strains: (1) Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and outer
membrane proteins (OMPs) of Gram-negative strains, bacterial phage receptors, are closely related to the bacterial pathogenicity. Therefore, structural alterations of LPS and OMPs may change the pathogenicity of the PRM strains. (2) Mutations of gene regulatory factors may be able to reduce their pathogenic activities.

Four different phenotypes of the parent and the PRM strains were compared (Table 4). The first three phenotypes are directly related to pathogenic activities and the fourth is the shape of the colony. PRM strains that formed transparent colonies showed a complete loss of pathogenicity by coming up negative in the first three tests; however, the PRM strains that grew opaque colonies were all positive. Interestingly, the PRM strains that formed translucent colonies exhibited only blotch-forming ability without hemolytic activity, similar to the pathogenic characteristics of the P1β subgroup strains of *P. tolaasii* [24]. The molecular mechanism behind the pathogenic variations of the PRM strains is not known, but it may vary depending on the composition of peptide toxins. The pattern of peptide toxins and their molecular weights secreted by the PRM strains should be examined and compared with the peptides secreted by the parent strain, *P. tolaasii* 6264. Nutkins et al. [25] reported that strains with blotch-forming ability without hemolytic activity were caused by a change in the composition of tolaasin analogues. Furthermore, in studies on *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lachrymans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, strains with rough colonies were reported to have quantitative and qualitative differences in lipopolysaccharide production compared to strains with smooth colonies. Fett et al. [26] found that exopolysaccharide (EPS)-producing *Pseudomonas* spp. formed a non-lytic colony that was different from the mucous colonies of the parent strain. These results indicate that bacterial EPS production inhibits the adsorption of bacteriophages, changes colony shape, and influences the pathogenicity of bacteria [27].

This study was carried out to investigate the characteristics of PRM strains that may cause
problems for the practical application of phage therapy in mushroom cultivation. The results shown in this study suggest that the induced PRM strains are converted into non- or less-pathogenic strains when they acquire phage resistance. Phage resistance mechanism of \textit{P. tolaasii} is not known and it is possible that the virulence of PRM strains may strengthen again. However, reacquirements of pathogenicity were not observed with both all PRM strains after several generations and 2nd PRM strains derived by different types of phages. When phages are spread widely in the cultivation area and sterilize pathogenic bacteria, blotch disease will be successfully suppressed. Furthermore, the phages are consistently present in mushroom cultivation beds with bactericidal activity. When the PRM strains are induced and become the dominant species, they will not threaten mushroom cultivation with reduced pathogenic activities. The PRM strains may compete and suppress the major pathogenic strains, and therefore may inhibit the occurrence and progression of brown blotch disease. Phage therapy can be performed continuously and successfully without interruption by PRM strains.
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Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Colony formations of phage-resistant bacteria (PRM) inside plaques.

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of the PRM strains. The parent strain, *P. tolaasii* 6264, is underlined.

Fig. 3. Brown blotch formations by the parent strain and PRM strains. Con, blotches formed by the parent strain.

Fig. 4. Hemolytic activities of the PRM strains.
Table 1. List of PRM strains and corresponding phages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent strain</th>
<th>Bacteriophage</th>
<th>Induced *PRM strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas tolaasii 6264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b21</td>
<td></td>
<td>21R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b31</td>
<td></td>
<td>31aR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b31</td>
<td></td>
<td>31bR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b32</td>
<td></td>
<td>32R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b42</td>
<td></td>
<td>42R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b44</td>
<td></td>
<td>44R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6g5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b82</td>
<td></td>
<td>82aR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6b83</td>
<td></td>
<td>82bR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6h82</td>
<td></td>
<td>82cR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ6h83</td>
<td></td>
<td>83R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PRM: phage-resistant mutant
Table 2. Cross-susceptibility of PRM strains to various bacteriophages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phage</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
<th>Type 4</th>
<th>Type 5</th>
<th>Type 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>φ6b1</td>
<td>φ6g5</td>
<td>φ6b31</td>
<td>φ6b32</td>
<td>φ6b21</td>
<td>φ6h83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRM strain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6264*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5R</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31aR 42R</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31bR 7R</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44R 82cR</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82aR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32R 82bR</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83R</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aO, Susceptible to phage

*bX, Resistant to phage

*cX, Phage responsible for the induction of the corresponding PRM strain
Table 3. Metabolic activities of the parent and PRM strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRM strain</th>
<th>ADH</th>
<th>GEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6264*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1R 21R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31aR 31bR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32R 42R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44R 5R</td>
<td>- (+)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7R 82bR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82cR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82aR 83R</td>
<td>- (+)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The API Kit (20NE) was used to measure the metabolic activities of PRM strains. The same results were obtained for all strains: NO₃(-), TRP(-), GLU(-), URE(-), ESC(-), PNPG(-), ARA(-), MAL(-), ADI(-), PAC(-), GLU(+), MNE(+), NAM(+), NAG(+), GNT(+), CAP(+), MLT(+), CIT(+), OX(+).

*Parent strain

*-(+), 24 h incubation: -, 48 h incubation: +
Table 4. Comparison of various phenotypes of PRM strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRM strain</th>
<th>aPitting test</th>
<th>bWhite line test</th>
<th>cHemolytic activity</th>
<th>dOpacity of colony</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6264&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1R</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21R</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31aR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31bR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82aR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82bR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82cR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83R</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>TL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>*</sup>Parent strain

<sup>a,b</sup> P, Positive reactions in brown blotch formation and white line formation; N, Negative reaction

<sup>c</sup>H, High activity; L, Low activity; N, No activity

<sup>d</sup>O, Opaque; TL, Translucent; TP, Transparent
Fig. 1.
Fig. 2.
Fig. 3.
Fig. 4.